

**Data F2F meeting
Abingdon February 23rd 2015**

Participants : Martin Juckes, Sebastien Denvil, Christian Page, Franck Toussaint, Michael Lautenschlager, Martina Stockhause, Sylvie Joussaume and Francesca Guglielmo
Excused Bryan Lawrence

Minutes: Sylvie

Agenda

1. ENES data task force term of reference
2. CORDEX MOU with WCRP
3. ESGF, CoG, ES-DOC governance issues, logo issues
4. preparation to CMIP6: how to best organise
5. AOB

1. ENES Data Task Force term of reference

The Term of Reference (ToR) is described in terms of both strategic issues, referring to the ENES board, as well as more operational issues, more directly linked to IS-ENES2 and, on the long run, CliM-ERI. An important difference with the ENES HPC Task Force is that the Data TF is expected to ensure the operational coordination of the ENES data infrastructure.

ToR has been updated and can be found on google doc:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1CzI0F4FFyfuRKXlpXmjAcIc8PYHWHemDLXcKi mQ3pdc/edit?usp=sharing>

Actions:

- Participants to meeting to confirm agreement on ToR
- Then SJ to contact CMCC, SMHI and KNMI for confirmation on participation and contact.
- SJ also to check Bryan agrees to chair the data task force

2. CORDEX MOU with WCRP

Issue of the MOU is to properly link IS-ENES2 activities on CORDEX with CORDEX governance.

Basically we agree on changes proposed by Grisha concerning IS-ENES2, with some few additions. Main concerns are on the workflow between IS-ENES2 and CORDEX SAT in order to achieve the liaison with the community. We propose the following workflow:

- CORDEX SAT provides IS-ENES2 with the list of contacts for the modelling groups participating within the different cordex or to specific contacts if needed
- "liaising with the community" is then done by IS-ENES2 by sending drafts to these contacts in order to both inform them about on-going issues and get their feedback
- we then update the proposed draft taking into account possible feedbacks and send it to the SAT

- the SAT has by default 1 month to agree but we can also agree on a longer term: at least there is a need to have a defined deadline otherwise it will not work (we do not agree to have no defined deadline with the SAT)

Additions are: role of IS_ENES2 for publication of Euro-CORDEX and Africa-CORDEX; agreement to participate to WCRP training as was the case for Asia (not one by one)

Concerning Med-CORDEX, several tries have been done to engage them in ESGF (by Sylvie, by Sebastien) but they have not followed since they already have their data base at ENEA.

Actions:

- SJ to contact Cordex (Bill Gutowski and Grisha, and Michel Rixen) that have the STA this week
- SJ to send the revisions, after checking by Martin, Bryan, Michael and Sebastien

3. Governance issues

ESGF governance: not yet any feedback from Sylvie's answer to Jay Hnilo. Important that ESGF software governance starts with its Steering Level and Executive Level. For now, agreed that IS-ENES2 is the best way to represent Europe contribution. However, European contribution is clearly not limited to IS-ENES2 support and there is also a strong institutional involvement. This will be better handled by CliM-ERI but meanwhile the data task force is important to coordinate and recognise the overall contributions.

CoG governance: Stephen Pascoe was the contact for IS-ENES2. Need to define another representative. CoG governance should be important since it will be used to interface ESGF.

ES-DOC governance

ES-DOC PIs: Bryan, Balaji, Eric, Karl, Cecelia and Sebastien

Resources: Mark Greenslade (supported by IPSL for next 3 years), Sylvia Murphy (project management) and Allyne Treshansky. UK Metoffice also involved on esdoc Py client to create documentation with IS-ENES2 support.

Meet every 2-3 months; list of tasks and requirements; every new version every 2-3 months; develop new questionnaire; Work with NCPP on downscaling should ensure that the documentation is consistent between Global/regional/downscaling.

Action: the data TF will prepare a list describing the European effort (FTE) and responsibility within ESGF. This will be important for ESGF governance

4. Preparation to CMIP6: how to best organise

This is a bit too early to say, but there might be a need for some internal IS_ENES2 reorganisation to ensure best contribution and efficiency for CMIP6

5. AOB NONE